Emitter-centered EM modes as a minimal basis for multi-emitter QED in arbitrary dielectric environments

Johannes Feist¹, Antonio I. Fernández Domínguez¹, Francisco J. García Vidal^{1,2}

¹Departamento de Física Teórica de la Materia Condensada and Condensed Matter Physics Center (IFIMAC), Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid E-28049, Spain ²Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), Donostia/San Sebastián, Spain

Introduction	Emitter-centered modes
Emitter-cavity interactions	Single emitter
 Large variety of complex cavity designs available "Cavity": any photonic structure that confines light Can enhance and control light-emitter interactions 	Question : What is the minimal set of quantized EM modes we need to represent the system without approximations ? • All other modes (orthogonal superpositions of the $\hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r},\omega)$)
	Answer: Emitter-centered / collective / bright EM modes $H = \hat{H}_e + \int_0^\infty d\omega \left[\hbar \omega \hat{B}^{\dagger}(\omega) \hat{B}(\omega) - \hat{\mu}_e \hbar \sqrt{J(\omega)} \left(\hat{B}(\omega) + \hat{B}^{\dagger}(\omega) \right) \right]$
	• For each frequency ω , one superposition of the bare macroscopic QED modes $\hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r},\omega)$ couples to the emitter. • Electric field mode profile available (real!)



- Common theoretical models (e.g., Jaynes-Cummings) treat a single (possibly lossy) quantized cavity mode
- Neglects the complex EM mode spectrum, and is only valid when the mode is spectrally separated from others and well-described by a Lorentzian spectral density (corresponding to exponential decay)
- Highly nontrivial to quantize EM modes in an arbitrary geometry with losses and material dispersion
- Formal solution: Macroscopic QED [1-4]

Macroscopic QED

- Separate system into macroscopic (EM properties given by dielectric function) and **microscopic** part (e.g., atom, molecule, or generally few-level quantum emitter)
- Formally represent material by an infinite set of local oscillators, $f(r, \omega)$, defined at each point in space, and for each frequency ($0 < \omega < \infty$) Hamiltonian (no direct dipole-dipole interaction!):

- $\hat{B}(\omega) = \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \,\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\omega) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r},\omega) \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{G}_e(\mathbf{r}_0,\mathbf{r},\omega)}{\hbar \sqrt{J(\omega)}}$

 - **Quantized** / normalized correctly: $[\hat{B}(\omega), \hat{B}^{\dagger}(\omega')] = \delta(\omega - \omega')$

- $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{J(\omega)}}$
- Minimal complete Hamiltonian in an arbitrary dielectric environment.

Multiple emitters

- Modes associated to emitters *i* and *j* are **not orthogonal**. $[\hat{B}_{i}(\omega), \hat{B}_{j}^{\dagger}(\omega')] = S_{ij}(\omega)\delta(\omega - \omega')$
- $S_{ij} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \, \boldsymbol{\beta}_i^*(\mathbf{r},\omega) \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}_j(\mathbf{r},\omega)$
- Overlap can be integrated analytically!

 $S_{ij}(\omega) = \frac{\omega^2}{\pi \hbar \epsilon_0 c^2} \frac{\mathbf{n}_i \cdot \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j, \omega) \cdot \mathbf{n}_j}{\sqrt{J_i(\omega) J_j(\omega)}}$

- **Orthogonalize:** $\mathbf{V}(\omega)\mathbf{S}(\omega)\mathbf{V}^{\dagger}(\omega) = \mathbb{1}$ $\hat{C}_{i}(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{i} V_{ij}(\omega) \hat{B}_{j}(\omega) \qquad [\hat{C}_{i}(\omega), \hat{C}_{j}^{\dagger}(\omega')] = \delta_{ij}\delta(\omega - \omega')$
- Hamiltonian describes *N* emitters coupled to *N* continua

 $H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{H}_{i} + \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hbar \omega \hat{C}_{i}^{\dagger}(\omega) \hat{C}_{i}(\omega)$ $-\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \hat{\mu}_{i} \left(g_{ij}(\omega) \hat{C}_{j}(\omega) + g_{ij}^{*}(\omega) \hat{C}_{j}^{\dagger}(\omega) \right)$ $g_{ij}(\omega) = \hbar \sqrt{J_i(\omega)} W_{ij}(\omega)$ Electric field operator: $\hat{\mathbf{E}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \mathbf{E}_{i}(\mathbf{r},\omega) \hat{C}_{i}(\omega)$ $\mathbf{E}_{i}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} V_{ij}^{*}(\omega) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}_{j}(\mathbf{r},\omega)$





$$H = \sum_{i} \hat{H}_{i} + \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega \int d^{3}\mathbf{r} \,\hbar\omega \hat{\mathbf{f}}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r},\omega) \hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r},\omega)$$
$$- \sum_{i} \hat{\mu}_{i} \mathbf{n}_{i} \cdot \left(\hat{\mathbf{E}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_{i}) + \hat{\mathbf{E}}^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}_{i}) \right)$$

Here for simplicity: magnetic permeability $\mu = 1$ [4]

- Electric field determined by classical EM Green's function $\hat{\mathbf{E}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega \int d^{3}\mathbf{r}' \,\mathbf{G}_{e}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r}',\omega)$ $\mathbf{G}_{e}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega) = i\frac{\omega^{2}}{c^{2}}\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\pi\epsilon_{0}}}\epsilon^{I}(\mathbf{r}',\omega)\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)$
- Dynamics of a single emitter at position \mathbf{r}_0 and direction \mathbf{n} determined by **spectral density** (local density of states):

$$J(\omega) = \frac{\omega^2}{\pi \hbar \epsilon_0 c^2} \mathbf{n} \cdot \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{r}_0, \omega) \cdot \mathbf{n}$$

- Purcell factor: $F = J(\omega)/J_0(\omega)$
- **Challenge:** Formally, infinitely many modes everywhere in space and frequency. Direct use (e.g., by discretization) prohibitively expensive.

Discussion

- Macroscopic QED can be used to construct an explicit set of emitter-centered EM modes that provide a minimal but complete basis for the quantum electrodynamics of a set of emitters in arbitrary dielectric environments.
- There are *N* photonic continua for *N* dipole emitters.
- The EM mode functions can be explicitly calculated and are real and divergenceless.
- System is **Hermitian** no losses (price to pay: **continua**).
- While the formal construction requires the use of local oscillators at all points in space and frequencies, the final expressions only depend on the (imaginary part of) the

References:

[1] B. Huttner and S. M. Barnett, Phys. Rev. A 46, 4306 (1992). [2] H. T. Dung et al., Phys. Rev. A 57, 3931 (1998). [3] S. Scheel and S. Y. Buhmann, Acta Phys. Slovaca **58**, 675 (2008). [4] S. Y. Buhmann, PhD Thesis, Universität Jena (2007). [5] J. L. Hemmerich et al., Nat. Commun. **9**, 2934 (2018). [6] M. Wubs et al., Phys. Rev. A **70**, 053823 (2004). [7] A. Delga et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 253601 (2014). [8] R.-Q. Li et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 107401 (2016). [9] T. Hümmer et al., Phys. Rev. B 87, 115419 (2013). [10] B. Rousseaux et al., Phys. Rev. B **93**, 045422 (2016). [11] D. Dzsotjan et al., Phys. Rev. A **94**, 023818 (2016).

- **Possible solutions:**
 - **Integrate out EM part:**
 - Perturbation theory: Casimir-Polder, interatomic Coulomb decay, etc. [4,5]
 - Laplace transform / multiple scattering [6,7] \bullet
 - Extract (few) quantized modes explicitly:
 - Fit spectral density to sum of Lorentzians [7-13]
 - Explicit quantization of quasinormal modes [14]
- In all cases: approximations necessary

classical EM Green's function connecting the emitters between each other and/or measurement points.

- Approach can be used as starting point for either advanced numerical approaches, e.g., using tensor networks [15,16] or the cumulant expansion (poster M. Sánchez-Barquilla), or for more approximate treatments.
- **Outlook:**
- Beyond dipole approximation? Role of gauge (here: multipolar)? Formal approach to obtain simplified models?

[12] A. Castellini et al., Eur. Phys. J. D 72, 223 (2018). [13] H. Varguet et al., J. Phys. B **52**, 055404 (2019). [14] S. Franke et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 213901 (2019). [15] A. Strathearn et al., Nat. Commun. **9**, 3322 (2018). [16] J. del Pino et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 227401 (2018).

Acknowledgments:

This work has been supported by the **European Research Council** (ERC-2016-StG-714870) and the **Spanish Ministry for Science**, Innovation, and Universities – AEI (RTI2018-099737-B-I00).

UÁM Universidad Autónoma de Madrid







AGENCIA Estatal de Investigaci

Contact: johannes.feist@uam.es mmuscles.eu

European Research Council Established by the European Commission